1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 MEDICRAFT, et al., 8 Case No. C21-1263-BJR Plaintiffs, 9 MINUTE ORDER v. 10 STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 13 14 The following Minute Order is made at the direction of the Court, the Hon. Michelle L. 15 Peterson, United States Magistrate Judge: The Parties are advised that live testimony will not be required at the July 30, 2024, 16 17 hearing. 18 Furthermore, the Parties should be prepared to discuss whether the Court should enter 19 judgment against Defendant King when the merits of Plaintiffs' claims are still being contested by remaining defendants. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); Neilson v. Chang (In re First T.D. & Inv., 20 Inc.), 253 F.3d 520, 531 (9th Cir. 2001) (citing Frow v. De La Vega, 82 U.S. 552 (1872)); see 21 22 also Garamendi v. Henin, 683 F.3d 1069, 1082-83 (9th Cir. 2012) ("[I]t would be 'incongruous 23 and unfair' to allow a plaintiff to prevail against defaulting defendants on a legal theory rejected

MINUTE ORDER - 1

1	by a court with regard to an answering defendant 'in the same action.'" (quoting Neilson, 253
2	F.3d at 532)).
3	Finally, the Parties are advised that all requests for damages and attorney's fees must
4	comply with both Rule 55(b)(2) and LCR 55(b).
5	Dated this 29th day of July, 2024.
6	Ravi Subramanian
7	Clerk of Court
8	By: <u>Tim Farrell</u> Deputy Clerk
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	